

MEAN VALUES OF THE BARNES DOUBLE ZETA-FUNCTION

TAKASHI MIYAGAWA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove asymptotic formulas for mean square values of the Barnes double zeta-function $\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha + vm + wn)^{-s}$ with respect to $\text{Im}(s)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE STATEMENT OF RESULTS

The Barnes multiple zeta-function $\zeta_r(s, \alpha; w_1, \dots, w_r)$ is defined by

$$\zeta_r(s, \alpha; w_1, \dots, w_r) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + w_1 m_1 + \cdots + w_r m_r)^s} \quad (1.1)$$

where s is a complex variable, and $\alpha > 0, w_1, \dots, w_r > 0$ are real parameters (§38 in [2], §15 in [3]). This multiple series is absolutely convergence for $\text{Re}(s) > r$, and continued meromorphically to $\mathbb{C}$. The singularities are $s = r, r-1, \dots, 1$.

In this paper, we focus on the case $r = 2$, that is the Barnes double zeta-function

$$\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \quad (1.2)$$

where $v, w > 0$ are real parameters, and study the asymptotic behavior of

$$\int_1^{\infty} |\zeta_2(\sigma + it, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt.$$

Remark 1. The Barnes double zeta-function was introduced by E. W. Barnes [1] in the theory of double gamma function, and double series of the form (1.2) is introduced in [2]. Furthermore in [3], in connection with the theory of multiple gamma function, and multiple series of the form (1.1) was introduced. But in [3], $w_1, \dots, w_r$ are assumed to complex parameter that all those points on the same side of some straight line through the origin.

Let

$$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, s_2, \alpha; v, w) = \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{s_1} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{s_2}}.$$

If v, w are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, since $vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2$ is equivalent to $(m_1, n_1) = (m_2, n_2)$, we have

$$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, s_2, \alpha; v, w) = \zeta_2(s_1 + s_2, \alpha; v, w).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32; Secondary 11B06.

Key words and phrases. Barnes double zeta-function, Mean square values.

Theorem 1. For $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma > 2$, we have

$$\int_1^T |\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt = \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha; v, w)T + O(1) \quad (1.3)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. For $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $3/2 < \sigma \leq 2$, we have

$$\int_1^T |\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt = \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha; v, w)T + \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (3/2 < \sigma < 2) \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2) \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2. We mention have some previous studies on mean values of double zeta-functions. Matsumoto and Tsumura [6] treated the Euler double zeta-function

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1} (m_1 + n_1)^{s_2}}$$

and gave some results on the mean square values

$$\int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_1, \sigma + it)|^2 dt.$$

Ikeda, Matsuoka and Nagata [4] obtained the improved version of the results of Matsumoto and Tsumura [6], and further they gave results on

$$\int_2^T |\zeta_2(\sigma + it, s_2)|^2 dt, \quad \int_2^T |\zeta_2(\sigma_1 + it, \sigma_2 + it)|^2 dt.$$

Also, Okamoto and Onozuka [7] on the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta-function

$$\zeta_{MT,2}(s_1, s_2; s_3) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1} n^{s_2} (m+n)^{s_3}}$$

obtained the results on the mean square values

$$\int_2^{\infty} |\zeta_{MT,2}(s_1, s_2; \sigma + it)|^2 dt.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma > 2$. We first calculate $|\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2 &= \zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) \overline{\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)} \\
&= \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma+it}} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma-it}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^s (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^t} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 \neq vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} \right)^{it} \\
&= \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha; v, w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 \neq vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} \right)^{it}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^T |\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt \\
&= \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha; v, w)(T-1) + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 \neq vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^{iT \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}} - e^{i \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}}{\log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 < vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{\log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ \alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 < \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 < 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 1 \\ \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 \geq 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} \right) \\
&\quad \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma} (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma} \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}
\end{aligned}$$

We denote the right-hand side by $V_1 + V_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &\ll \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 \geq 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma} \sum_{m_2 \geq 0} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the order of V_1 . The range of n_2 satisfying the inequality $\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 < \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 < 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)$ of the condition of the sum V_1 is

$$\frac{v}{w}(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 < n_2 < \frac{\alpha}{w} + \frac{v}{w}(2m_1 - m_2) + 2n_1.$$

Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m_1, m_2, n_1)$, $\delta = \delta(m_1, m_2, n_1)$ be constants satisfying $0 \leq \varepsilon, \delta < 1$ and $(v/w)(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 + \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha/w + (v/w)(2m_1 - m_2) + 2n_1 - \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $K = \alpha/w + (v/w)m_1 + n_1 - \varepsilon - \delta$ is an integer, and n_2 can be rewrite to

$$n_2 = \frac{v}{w}(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 + \varepsilon + k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K).$$

Since

$$\log \frac{\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} = \log \left(1 + \frac{w\varepsilon + wk}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} \right) \asymp \frac{w\varepsilon + wk}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1},$$

and $K \asymp \alpha vm_1 + wn_1 \asymp m_1 + n_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\ll \sum_{m_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq m_2 \leq K} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 + wk + w\varepsilon)^\sigma} \times \frac{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1}{wk + w\varepsilon} \\ &\ll \sum_{m_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq m_2 \leq K} \frac{\log K}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{2\sigma-1}} \\ &\ll \sum_{m_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{\log(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{2\sigma-2}} \\ &\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{(m+n)^{2\sigma-2}} \\ &= O(1) \quad (\sigma > 2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. $\square$

3. THE APPROXIMATION THEOREM

Let $\sigma_1 > 0$, $x \geq 1$ and $C > 1$. Suppose $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ and $|t| \leq 2\pi x/C$. Then

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} + O(x^{-\sigma}) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.1)$$

This asymptotic formula has been proved by Hardy and Littlewood (see Theorem 4.11 in Titchmarsh [8]). Here we prove the analogue of (3.1) for the case of the Barnes double zeta-functions as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 2$, $x \geq 1$ and $C > 1$. Suppose $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma_1 < \sigma < \sigma_2$ and $|t| \leq 2\pi x/C$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) &= \sum_{0 \leq m \leq x} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\alpha + vx)^{2-s} + (\alpha + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(x^{1-\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4 (Titchmarsh [8]). *Let $f(x)$ be a real function with a continuous and steadily decreasing $f'(x)$ in (a, b) , and let $f'(b) = c$, $f'(a) = d$. Let $g(x)$ be a real positive decreasing function with a continuous derivative $g'(x)$, satisfying that $|g'(x)|$ is steadily decreasing. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < n \leq b} g(n) e^{2\pi i f(n)} &= \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \\ c - \eta < \nu < d + \eta}} \int_a^b g(x) e^{2\pi i (f(x) - \nu x)} dx \\ &\quad + O(g(a) \log(d - c + 2)) + O(|g'(a)|) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for an arbitrary $\eta \in (0, 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be sufficiently large. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N + \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^N + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the second, the third and the forth term on the right-hand side by A_1, A_2 and A_3 , respectively. By the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula (see Equation (2.1.2) in [8]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=a+1}^b \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} &= \frac{(\alpha + vb + wn)^{1-s} - (\alpha + va + wn)^{1-s}}{v(1-s)} \\ &\quad - vs \int_a^b \frac{x - [x] - 1/2}{(\alpha + vx + wn)^{s+1}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \{ (\alpha + vb + wn)^{-s} - (\alpha + va + wn)^{-s} \}, \\ \sum_{n=a+1}^b \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} &= \frac{(\alpha + vm + wb)^{1-s} - (\alpha + vm + wa)^{1-s}}{w(1-s)} \\ &\quad - ws \int_a^b \frac{x - [x] - 1/2}{(\alpha + vm + wx)^{s+1}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \{ (\alpha + vm + wb)^{-s} - (\alpha + vm + wa)^{-s} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a = N$ and $b \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\
&= \frac{1}{w(1-s)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{s-1}} \\
&\quad - ws \int_N^{\infty} \frac{x - [x] - 1/2}{(\alpha + vm + wx)^{s+1}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^s} \\
&= \frac{1}{w(1-s)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^s} + O(N^{-\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^N \left\{ \frac{1}{w(1-s)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^s} + O(N^{-\sigma}) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{w(1-s)} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^s} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{w(s-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^s} + O(N^{1-\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula for the first term and the second term of the right-hand side of the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^{s-2}} - \frac{1}{(\alpha + vN + wN)^{s-2}} \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{v}{w} \int_0^N \frac{x - [x] - 1/2}{(\alpha + vx + wN)^s} dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2w(s-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{(\alpha + vN + wN)^{s-1}} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2v(s-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\alpha + vN + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^{s-1}} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{vs}{2} \int_0^N \frac{x - [x] - 1/2}{(\alpha + vx + wN)^{s+1}} dx - \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^s} - \frac{1}{(\alpha + vN + wN)^s} \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{w(s-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha + wN)^s} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) \\
&= \frac{(\alpha + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same method to A_2 and A_3 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \frac{(\alpha + vN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) \quad (\sigma > 1) \\
A_3 &= \frac{(\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) \quad (\sigma > 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\alpha + vN)^{2-s} + (\alpha + vN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for $\sigma > 1$. Next we consider the double sum on the right-hand side of (3.4). First we divide the sum as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{n \leq x} + \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{x < n \leq N} + \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{x < m \leq N} + \sum_{x < m \leq N} \sum_{x < n \leq N} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the second, the third and the fourth term on the right-hand side by B_1 , B_2 and B_3 , respectively. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$f(x) = \frac{t}{2\pi} \log(\alpha + vm + wx), \quad g(x) = (\alpha + vm + wx)^{-\sigma}$$

and $(a, b) = (x, N)$ in Lemma 4. Then we have

$$(c, d) = \left(\frac{tw}{2\pi(\alpha + vm + wN)}, \frac{tw}{2\pi(\alpha + vm + wx)} \right).$$

We see that

$$|f'(x)| = \left| \frac{tw}{2\pi(\alpha + vm + wx)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \frac{2\pi x}{C} \cdot \frac{w}{\alpha + vm + wx} \right| \leq \frac{1}{C} < 1.$$

When $\sigma > 0$, the function $g(x)$ is decreasing and so we can apply Lemma 4. By taking η to satisfy $c < \eta < d$, only the value $\nu = 0$ can be taken. We obtain from (3.3) that

$$\sum_{x < n \leq N} \frac{e^{it \log(\alpha + vm + wn)}}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^{-\sigma}} = \int_x^N (\alpha + vm + wu)^{-\sigma + it} du + O((m+x)^{-\sigma}).$$

Considering complex conjugates on the both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x < n \leq N} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} &= \int_x^N (\alpha + vm + wu)^{-s} du + O((m+x)^{-\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{1-s} - (\alpha + vm + wx)^{1-s}}{w(1-s)} + O((m+x)^{-\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{x < n \leq N} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} \\ &= \sum_{m \leq x} \left\{ \frac{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{1-s} - (\alpha + vm + wx)^{1-s}}{w(1-s)} + O((m+x)^{-\sigma}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{w(1-s)} \left\{ \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wN)^{s-1}} - \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wx)^{s-1}} \right\} + O(x^{1-\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first and the second term on the right-hand side by $(1/w(1-s))(B_{11}-B_{12})$, and apply Lemma 4 for B_{11} and B_{12} . For B_{11} set

$$f(x) = \frac{t}{2\pi} \log(\alpha + vx + wN), \quad g(x) = (\alpha + vx + wN)^{1-\sigma}$$

and $(a, b) = (x, N)$ in Lemma 4. We can treat B_{12} similarly, replacing the variable x to y in Lemma 4, and setting

$$f(y) = \frac{t}{2\pi} \log(\alpha + vy + wx), \quad g(y) = (\alpha + vy + wx)^{1-\sigma}$$

and $(a, b) = (0, x)$. Then we have

$$B_{11} = \frac{(\alpha + vx + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + wN)^{2-s}}{v(2-s)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}),$$

$$B_{12} = \frac{(\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + wx)^{2-s}}{v(2-s)} + O(x^{1-\sigma}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$B_1 = \frac{(\alpha + vx + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + wN)^{2-s} + (\alpha + wx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) + O(x^{1-\sigma}),$$

By the method similar to the calculation of B_1 , we obtain

$$B_2 = \frac{(\alpha + vN + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN)^{2-s} + (\alpha + vx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) + O(x^{1-\sigma})$$

and

$$B_3 = \frac{(\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN + wx)^{2-s} + (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} + O(N^{1-\sigma}) + O(x^{1-\sigma}).$$

Therefore now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)} &= \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\alpha + vx)^{2-s} + (\alpha + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\alpha + vN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + wN)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vN + wN)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} \\ &\quad + O(x^{1-\sigma}) + O(N^{1-\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w) &= \sum_{m \leq x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)} + \frac{(\alpha + vx)^{2-s} + (\alpha + wx)^{2-s} - (\alpha + vx + wx)^{2-s}}{vw(s-1)(s-2)} \\ &\quad + O(x^{1-\sigma}) + O(N^{1-\sigma}) \end{aligned}$$

in the region $\sigma > 1$. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

In this section, using Theorem 3, we give the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Setting $C = 2\pi$ and $x = t$ in (3.1), we easily see that the second term on the right-hand side is $O(t^{-\sigma})$, so we have

$$\zeta(s, \alpha; v, w) = \sum_{m \leq t} \sum_{n \leq t} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm + wn)^s} + O(t^{1-\sigma}).$$

We denote the first term on the right-hand side by $\Sigma_1(s)$. Let $M = M(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2) = \max\{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)|^2 dt \\ &= \int_1^T \sum_{m_1 \leq t} \sum_{n_1 \leq t} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{\sigma+it}} \sum_{m_2 \leq t} \sum_{n_2 \leq t} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^{\sigma-it}} dt \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \leq T} \sum_{n_1 \leq T} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma} \sum_{m_2 \leq T} \sum_{n_2 \leq T} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \int_M^T \left(\frac{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1}{\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2} \right)^{it} dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \times (T - M) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \geq 0 \\ vm_1 + wn_1 \neq vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \\ &\quad \times \frac{e^{iT \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}} - e^{i \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}}{\log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first and the second term on the right-hand side by S_1 and S_2 respectively. As for S_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= T \left\{ \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha, v, w) - (U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4) \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{M(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2)}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 &= \left(\sum_{\substack{m_1 > T \\ 0 \leq n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{m_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1, n_1, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma}, \\
U_2 &= \left(\sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2 > T \\ 0 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma}, \\
U_3 &= \left(\sum_{\substack{m_1, n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq n_1, m_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{n_1, m_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma}, \\
U_4 &= \left(\sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, n_1 > T \\ 0 \leq n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2, n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq n_1 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{m_1, n_1, n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} + \sum_{\substack{m_2, n_1, n_2 > T \\ 0 \leq m_1 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 = vm_2 + wn_2}} \right) \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider U_1 , we have

$$U_1 \ll \sum_{\substack{k > T \\ 1 \leq l, m, n \leq T \\ k+l=m+n}} \frac{1}{(k+l)^\sigma (m+n)^\sigma}.$$

Setting $j = k + l$, since $T + 1 < j \leq 2T$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 &\ll \sum_{T+1 < j \leq 2T} \left(\sum_{T < k < j} \sum_{1 \leq l < k} \right) \frac{1}{j^{2\sigma}} = \sum_{T+1 < j \leq 2T} \frac{(k - T - 2)(k - 1)}{k^{2\sigma}} \\
&\ll \sum_{T+1 < j \leq 2T} \frac{1}{k^{2\sigma-2}} \ll \int_T^{2T} \frac{1}{x^{2\sigma-2}} dx \\
&\ll T^{3-2\sigma} \left(\sigma > \frac{3}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain $U_2, U_3, U_4 \ll T^{3-2\sigma}$. Therefore, we have

$$S_1 = \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha, v, w)T + \begin{cases} O(T^{3-2\sigma}) & (3/2 < \sigma < 2), \\ O(\log T) & (\sigma = 2). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Next, as for S_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\ll \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ vm_1 + wn_1 < vm_2 + wn_2}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ \alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 < \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 < 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 \geq 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} \right) \\ &\quad \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma \log\{(\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)/(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first and the second term on the right-hand side by W_1 and W_2 respectively. As for W_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_2 &\ll \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 < 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma (\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2)^\sigma} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2 \leq T \\ m_2 + n_2 < 2(m_1 + n_1)}} \frac{1}{(m_1 + n_1)^\sigma (m_2 + n_2)^\sigma} \\ &\ll \begin{cases} T^{4-2\sigma} & (1 < \sigma < 2), \\ (\log T)^2 & (\sigma = 2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the order of W_1 . The range of n_2 in inequality $\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 < \alpha + vm_2 + wn_2 < 2(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)$ on the condition of the sum W_1 is

$$\frac{v}{w}(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 < n_2 < \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{w} + \frac{v}{w}(2m_1 - m_2) + 2n_1, [T] + 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(m_1, m_2, n_1), \delta = \delta(m_1, m_2, n_1)$ be constants satisfying $0 \leq \varepsilon, \delta < 1$ and $(v/w)(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 + \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha/w + (v/w)(2m_1 - m_2) + 2n_1 - \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$K = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{w} + \frac{v}{w} \cdot m_1 + n_1 - \varepsilon - \delta, [T] - \frac{v}{w}(m_1 - m_2) - n_1 - \varepsilon \right\}$$

is an integer, and n_2 can be rewrite to

$$n_2 = \frac{v}{w}(m_1 - m_2) + n_1 + \varepsilon + k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K).$$

Since

$$\log \frac{\alpha + vm_2 + wn_2}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} = \log \left(1 + \frac{wk + w\varepsilon}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1} \right) \asymp \frac{wk + w\varepsilon}{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 &\ll \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq T} \sum_{0 \leq n_1 \leq T} \sum_{0 \leq m_2 \leq K} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq K} \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^\sigma} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1 + wk + w\varepsilon)^\sigma} \times \frac{\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1}{wk + w\varepsilon} \\
&\ll \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq T} \sum_{0 \leq n_1 \leq T} \sum_{0 \leq m_2 \leq K} \frac{\log K}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{2\sigma-1}} \\
&\ll \sum_{0 \leq m_1 \leq T} \sum_{0 \leq n_1 \leq T} \frac{\log(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)}{(\alpha + vm_1 + wn_1)^{2\sigma-2}} \\
&\ll \sum_{1 \leq m \leq T} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq T} \frac{\log(m+n)}{(m+n)^{2\sigma-2}} \\
&\ll \int_1^T \int_1^T \frac{\log(x+y)}{(x+y)^{2\sigma-2}} dx dy \\
&= \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2, 3/2 < \sigma < 2), \\ O(T(\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 3/2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma}) & (1 < \sigma < 2) \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2) \end{cases} + \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2, 3/2 < \sigma < 2) \\ O(T(\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 3/2) \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2) \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2, 3/2 < \sigma < 2), \\ O(T(\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 3/2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.1), we have

$$\int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)|^2 dt = \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma; \alpha, v, w)T + \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2, 3/2 < \sigma < 2), \\ O(T(\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 3/2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^T |\zeta_2(\sigma + it, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt \\
&= \int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s) + O(t^{1-\sigma})|^2 dt \\
&= \int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)|^2 dt + O\left(\int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)| t^{1-\sigma} dt\right) + O\left(\int_1^T t^{2-2\sigma} dt\right). \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the third term on the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{cases} O(T^{3-2\sigma}) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2, 3/2 < \sigma \leq 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 3/2). \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Also, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the second term on the right-hand side, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)| t^{1-\sigma} dt &\leq \left(\int_1^T |\Sigma_1(s)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^T t^{2-2\sigma} dt \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} O(T^{7/2-2\sigma} (\log T)^{1/2}) & (1 < \sigma < 3/2), \\ O(T^{1/2} (\log T)^{3/2}) & (\sigma = 3/2), \\ O(T^{2-\sigma}) & (3/2 < \sigma \leq 2). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, since (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$\int_1^T |\zeta_2(s, \alpha; v, w)|^2 dt = \zeta_2^{[2]}(\sigma, \sigma, \alpha; v, w) T + \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma} \log T) & (3/2 < \sigma < 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma = 2), \end{cases}$$

and hence proof of Theorem 2 is complete. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. W. Barnes, The Genesis of the Double Gamma Functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. **31** (1899), 358-381.
- [2] E. W. Barnes, The Theory of the Double Gamma Function, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. **197** (1901), 265-387.
- [3] E. W. Barnes, On the Theory of the Multiple Gamma Function. Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **19** (1904), 374-425.
- [4] S. Ikeda, K. Matsuoka, Y. Nagata, On certain mean values of the double zeta-function, Nagoya Math. J. **217** (2015), 161-190.
- [5] Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, H. Tsumura, Barnes multiple zeta-functions, Ramanujan's formula, and relevant series involving hyperbolic functions, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **28** (2013), 49-69.
- [6] K. Matsumoto, H. Tsumura, Mean value theorems for the double zeta-function, J. Math. Soc. Japan **67** (2015), 383-408.
- [7] T. Okamoto, T. Onozuka, Mean value theorems for the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta-functions, Ramanujan J. **37** (2015), 131-163.
- [8] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function. 2nd ed., Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

Takashi Miyagawa:
 Graduate School of Mathematics,
 Nagoya University,
 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602 Japan
 E-mail: d15001n@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp